1	
2	
3	
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
6	***
7	CECIL HALL,
8	Plaintiff,)
9) 2:10-cv-00054-JCM-LRL v.)
10) ORDER HOWARD SKOLNICK, et al.,
11	Defendants.
12)
13	This case comes before the court on the defendants' Motion to Stay Action (#10). The court ha
14	considered the motion and plaintiff's Response (#12). Defendants' motion reads more like a motion
15	to dismiss than a motion to stay. The only basis offered in support of a stay of these proceedings is that
16	the NDOC is apparently in the process of rewriting and eliminating its policy of referring to an "outside
17	organization" the determination as to whether an inmate is Jewish according to Jewish law, and
18	therefore entitled to kosher meals. Because plaintiff has asserted multiple causes of action for damages
19	the proposed change of policy will not moot all of plaintiff's claims.
20	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that defendants' Motion to Stay Action (#10) is denied.
21	DATED this 20th day of December, 2010.
22	Mewid
23	LAWRENCE R. LEAVITT
24	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
25	